

PART III (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SUPERVISOR(S))

5. Date of Meeting _____
6. List below the Annual Progress Advisory Committee members or write same as in 8 or 10, if applicable.
- _____
- _____
7. Progress of candidate deemed:
- Satisfactory Below expectations
8. Briefly describe the method by which the decision was reached. Check as appropriate. This meeting of the Annual Progress Advisory Committee included:
- progress report
 presentation to the Progress Advisory Committee
 research publications (e.g. technical reports, submitted or published articles)
9. If the progress is deemed satisfactory, please estimate the expected date of completion of the Ph.D. thesis and offer any other observations or recommendations as deemed necessary.
10. If the progress is deemed below expectations, explain in some detail the actions recommended to the candidate to remedy the deficiencies and indicate deadlines to be met.

Note 1: Recommendations must be conveyed in writing to the student and have him/her sign to acknowledge receipt of your recommendations. A copy of your recommendations must be submitted to the ECE Graduate Office along with this report.

Note 2: In the case of unsatisfactory performance and if the recommendation of the committee is non-renewal of the candidacy, please communicate with the ECE Graduate Office immediately after the meeting to discuss the procedure to be followed in communicating the recommendation of the committee to the candidate.

Acknowledgment by the Candidate:

I have received a copy of the report and/or documents outlining the results of the Advisory Committee meeting.

Rev. Oct./07